

Open Infrastructure - Task #7283

Task # 6869 (Rejected): Challenge OpenStack and OpenNebula with ucloud

Cleanups for ucloud 0.0.2

11/01/2019 09:14 PM - Nico Schottelius

Status:	Closed	Start date:	11/01/2019
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Ahmed Bilal	% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
PM Check date:			
Description			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• host/main.py: do not hard code /var/vm -> read this from a key in etcd<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Not sure if we want to use threading or multiprocessing - I'd say the latter is probably easier• don't just call main(), protect it by if <code>name == '__main__'</code>!			

History

#1 - 11/01/2019 09:46 PM - Nico Schottelius

- Description updated

#2 - 11/02/2019 10:12 AM - Ahmed Bilal

Nico Schottelius wrote:

- host/main.py: do not hard code /var/vm -> read this from a key in etcd
 - Not sure if we want to use threading or multiprocessing - I'd say the latter is probably easier
- don't just call main(), protect it by if `name == '__main__'`!

- I already removed hard coded thingies but didn't pushed them yet.
- Threading should be used in our case because we want to share variables e.g etcd_client handle
- `name == '__main__'` DONE.

#3 - 11/02/2019 11:43 AM - Nico Schottelius

Not sure if I agree with you in terms of sharing - why would we want to share the etcd_client handle and not reallocate/take a new one?

redmine@ungleich.ch writes:

#4 - 11/02/2019 01:22 PM - Ahmed Bilal

We can create new ones, but suppose we want to change some parameters i.e passing certificates path when opening connection to etcd key/value store than we have to change it in two places :). Still, if you say I would do it with processes. It may be better. Don't know yet.

#5 - 11/02/2019 04:38 PM - Ahmed Bilal

Now, using process instead of threads.

#6 - 11/20/2019 09:14 AM - Ahmed Bilal

- Status changed from New to Resolved

#7 - 11/25/2019 11:58 AM - Ahmed Bilal

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed